

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

UJ DREADFUL

1. I feel that our first and principal objective should be to eliminate his organization - which would not be a great loss to AIS even on the premise that the man is innocent - and to remove him from any operational contact with Zipper whatsoever.

2. This case has been running for well over a year now, and except for a few very slim leads, we are no further along now than when it broke. Although we should make every effort to follow all possible leads, I feel that at the same time we should pressure UTILITY in every way possible to dissolve UJD's organization and drop the man. This would not necessarily jeopardize our current investigation and might tend to drive the man to us for a job or for assistance in emigration. I feel that at this point we can no longer justify keeping the man on his present job in order to facilitate investigation. - Consider for a moment the [ ] case!

3. I think perhaps the only danger in UTILITY taking unilateral action would be if Zipper were to confront the man with the charges, resulting in a denial on his part which Zipper would accept at face value, but which in turn would cause him to tighten up on his security in such a way as to prevent our leads - such as they are - from leading to anything concrete. I don't believe, however, that this is a serious threat at all. I rather expect UTILITY to take no action on this one unless he is literally forced into it by us - on a budget squeeze - or unless, of course, something very concrete breaks in the case.

25.0

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCES METHODSEXEMPTION 3B2D  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2006